1. Welcome and introductions for Aimee and Hilary

2. Updates/check-in
   a. Against the Grain article [Linda]
      i. Topic on collection management and the 2nd gen dda
      ii. Gave to Allen McKiel, WOU, original solicitor of the article
      iii. Forwarded on to editor of ATG
      iv. Thanks to Jim Bunnelle, co-author
   b. Wiley update [Kathi]
      i. Fix identified for the presently inaccessible 1 year front list for Wiley titles. Fix to be installed on Thursday, March 19th @ 5 pm
      ii. ProQuest is working to implement the fix for Orbis short term loans on Wiley titles (Wiley’s general no STL policy took effect 03/01/15)
      iii. Cautionary note: look at latest news on ebl lib central, info like increases on short term loans, today saw World Scientific as of 4/1/15, embargoing latest 5 years for STLs
      iv. Neil noted that Taylor & Francis has proposed changing their pricing: they would add 5% to front list and roll back list titles to initial STL prices.
   c. Deduplication of ebrary and EBL [Kathi]
      i. I asked YBP to exclude Academic Complete titles from profile
      ii. Received dedup report from Aimee; need to schedule dup deletion from EBL and Alma, send list to YBP
      iii. Kathi will work on dedup over the coming week
   d. ACRL meetings [Kathi]
      i. JSTOR & Project Muse

3. Meeting frequency
   a. Need for meeting twice a month
b. first and third Wednesday, 1pm

c. changes to schedule would start after next scheduled meeting, ie next meetings would be April 15th, May 6th, and May

4. Ebrary Academic Complete
   a. Consortium channel stats and missing institutions
      i. Some schools left off channel report. Now all Alliance schools are on the same channel
      ii. Wouldn't hurt to rerun report and make sure they have the data in front of them.
      iii. Counter stats, way to narrow down to academic complete?
        1. Neil will double check
      iv. Tom's question: we had a case of a link in one of the AC records going to a different title, thought Kathi was the contact, Kathi could not fix it; 2nd time found another problem with one of those records, way staff had to deal with it: removed inventory from CZ, and download NZ record; doesn't solve the greater problem for Alliance.
        1. Hilary suggestion: Click Send to Ex Libris to report.
        2. And cc Neil Sorensen so he can check on his end. Pres. Ex Libris N. Amer. reached out to Neil, improve Ebrary records for Cascade Alliance, Neil gave Kathi's contact info, has not yet reached out to Kathi.

5. Walk-in policy
   a. Report back on Shared Content Team review
      i. Comments from CDMC
         1. Concern about implementation
         2. Recommended removing implementation section about steps
   b. Discussion of changes
      i. Downside: lose ability to collect stats, but it doesn't sound like very many people would actually do it.
      ii. All publicly funded WA institutions need to do it. Currently in violation, because not paying sales tax on DDA.
      iii. Ebook group is not in a position to advise on local technical implementation. Aimee Leverette agreed to be the contact.
      iv. Overall, the group is fine with not seeing stats on the walk-ins
   c. Decision/vote on whether to move forward as is
i. Decision to go forward with revision to keep Background, Policy and first sentence of Implementation sections including: “Each institution that elects to provide walk-in access is responsible for setting up authenticated access via a login and password created at a local level (e.g. the institution’s local ezproxy)” and Aimee’s contact info.

6. Budget update
   a. Summary of where we are now
      i. DDA FY15 budget: $920,662
         ii. Spent: $677,413.16 (73.58%)
         iii. Remaining: $243,248.84 (26.42%)

            Comment: We’re 70% through the year. Spending has picked up. We’ll need to watch this closely for corrective action.

   b. Comparison of trends over time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weekly Averages: Demand Driven Acquisitions Program, July 2011-March 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Past 10 weeks (since week of Jan 9)
   Average Spend: $26,065.16
   Average STLs: 618.3
   Average Purchases: 14.9

   • Spending rate: 70% through year, yet 74% spent; over time spending has increased on an average weekly basis; FY13 and 14 higher than FY 15, but FY 15 rate of purchase higher due to price increase in short term loans

7. Price cap discussion
   a. Review of documents
      i. Two spreadsheets went out:
         1. Title list in pool
         2. Price breakdown
      ii. Looked at titles purchased and where they fell in different price groups
iii. Above 250 should not be in the pool

b. Discuss options

i. How do we want to handle titles above our price cap? Need to find time/date to pull those. Group prefers not to pull mid-academic year, so we will wait to establish a clear schedule. Recommendation to focus on removing academic complete duplicates in the short term.

ii. Do we want to change price cap? e.g. to a smaller number like 200 from 250
   1. Given spend rate, we may need to do so
   2. Price cap: T&F and Wiley stand out as having large numbers of titles in the 250-200 (and above), with Brill having many, too.
   3. Couple thoughts: this year we had a carry forward, next year that number will be smaller; no matter what have to keep costs down in some way. take out T&F? Consider carefully.
   4. Can see trends with who is increasing price after putting titles in, (Oxford, T&F, Wiley)
   5. Hopefully will have news from Neil about what's happening with T&F and Wiley pricing
   6. Continue discussion next meeting

c. Decision/vote on whether to change the price cap (if yes, then when)
   i. Decision to wait until next meeting

8. Calendar/schedule for titles removals - POSTPONED TO APRIL
   a. Decision on timeline for annual removals
   b. Discussion about how to handle titles over price cap (Wiley strategy example)
   c. Out of time: need to discuss next meeting

Preview for April's meeting: ACRL meeting updates, FAQ revision, roles and rotation schedule, survey review