

Combined notes from Janet Lurch (YBP) and Sara Seely (PCC)

1. Follow-up or updates from last meeting:

- Notes from Aug. 14th meeting: changes needed before posting? [Serin]
 - No changes
- ACRL-WA/OR proposal [Serin & Sara]
 - Serin and Sara submitting a poster presentation.
- Ebrary help/guide [Jim]
 - Jim stated that next Tuesday he should have this completed and Neil Soresen offered to help.
- FAQ update [Jim]
 - The decision was to keep the FAQ up on the Alliance website but to scale it back; this is being moved forward to meeting next month.
 - Kathi will make suggestions for additions based on questions she receives.
- Update on OCLC feed for DDA titles removed (cohort 4) [Kathi]
 - There was a fix that happened on 9/16. Cohort 4 schools that are not on Alma needed the feed. The dates need to be monitored more closely but there were very few titles pulled due to territory restrictions.

2. Ebrary subscription [Kathi]

- a. License & activation status:
 - Order is out, and the license is waiting to be signed. We have an overall consortial “parent” URL for accessing the ebrary subscription.
- b. Ebrary record handling (task force: Steve Shadle (chair), Bob Thomas, Sion Romaine, Diana Brooking, Joe Kiegel)
 - There needs to be some test sample records possibly 100 of these if they could get them from Ken that would be great. Neil asked about using the OCLC records and the statement was they were too slow in getting them to them.
 - A parent URL will be shared as well as a test load so we can look at the records. Kathi will ask Steve Shadle and Serin to sit in on the discussion of URLs and OCLC records.
- c. De-dupe process for DDA titles
 - We need to have the new records in place prior to de-duping in order to maintain consistency of access.
- d. Timeline for communication
 - There is no timeline yet for the solution.

3. Walk-in access – draft policy [Serin]

- a. Login access: local institutional setup via ezproxy
 - A single login will be used at each institution. The single login can be used simultaneously, in the instance of needing to provide access to two or more walk-in patrons. Content to add to the draft: library staff perform the login for the patron – it shouldn’t be distributed to visiting patrons.

- b. Point of contact for technical assistance
 - Alison Bobal is the best contact.
 - Alison will give a test title so that we will have a way to capture statistics for visiting patrons from each institution. It will require some manual data manipulation on the part of Alison, but she's willing. Each institution needs to decide if they want to implement guest login.
- c. Statistics: possibility of tracking
 - Agreed that it is important to collect stats. There was a conversation about whether or not to use the survey that pops up when a user logs into EBL for the first time to capture the visiting patron status. This would require either using "other" or adding/changing the survey. This seemed problematic, and the group opted to go with the manual method of collecting the IDs with Alison Bobal's help.
 - Alison will help us craft language to communicate how an institution can create a guest login in order to track usage at the institution level.

4. Other?

- a. Budget: 10% of the DDA budget has been spent as of Sept 5th which includes purchase of 44 titles and 2075 STL's. FY14 at this time was 6% and FY13 was at 11%. Rate of spend is not substantially higher than last year.
- b. We should run the walk-in policy by CDMC and get feedback/ok and then post it to the website.